# NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR SCHEDULED TRIBES

PROCEEDINGS of the hearing held in the National Commission for Scheduled Tribes on 30/11/2009 at 11:30 hrs. regarding result of Shri Skarma Stanzin Leksdan an Ex-Student of Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology (NSIT).

The following were present. Shri Tsering Samphel, Hon'ble Member, National Commission for Scheduled Tribes presided over the hearing:

#### I. National Commission for Scheduled Tribes

- 1. Shri Tsering Samphel, Hon'ble Member
- 2. Shri R.C.Durga, Director

In chair

## II. Officers of Delhi University, New Delhi

- 1. Shri Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Registrar
- 2. Shri S.N. Katiyar, Dy. Registrar (Faculty of Technology)
- 3. Shri R.P. Singh, Dy. Controller of Examinations

### ISSUE

2 Non-declaration of result of Shri Skarma Stanzin Leksdan an ex-Student of Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology.

## BACKGROUND

3. The National Commission for Scheduled Tribes received a complaint that Shri Skarma Stanzin Leksdan, a Scheduled Tribe ex-student of Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology, New Delhi appeared for his Machine Drawing paper on 02.12.2008 with Roll No. 0436-IC 03 and Code No. IC-209, but the result is yet to be declared and in absence of the result, career of the ST student is uncertain.

4. Considering the merit and urgency of the case Shri Tsering Samphel, Hon'ble Member, National Commission for Scheduled Tribes decided to have discussion/ hearing in the case on 30/11/2009 in the Commission.

## Discussion

5. Commission was informed that the Shri Skarma Stanzin Leksdan ex-student had also represented in this matter to the Dy. Registrar, Faculty of Technology, Delhi University through Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology vide his representation dated 07/07/2009 in his representation he had mentioned his paper of Machine

Drawing with the Code IC 206, but as per scheme of examination the paper with this Code is Electronics and not Machine Drawing. However, in the complaint received in the Commission the paper Code has been referred as IC 209. It was further informed that the result of the examination conducted in December, 2008 had been declared on 08/06/2009 and as per scheme of examination Machine Drawing paper has a Code of IC 209 and as per record he was absent on the date of examination for IC 209. The Dy. Registrar, Faculty of Technology informed that in view of the confusion created due to inconsistent information furnished in two separate communications in respect of the exact name and code of the paper in which Shri Skarma Stanzin Leksdan appeared/ absented and in respect of which paper the result was awaited, Director, NSIT has been requested to furnish the exact details of Attendance of the students in the examination in respect of each paper and the evaluation of the paper in respect of the paper written by Shri Skarma Stanzin Leksdan.

#### OBSERVATIONS

6. Commission noticed that the results of the Semester Examinations for various B.Tech courses conducted at Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology and Delhi College of Engineering under the auspices of Delhi University were generally declared six to seven months after conducting the examinations. It was, however, noticed that results of the Seventh Semester Examinations were declared simultaneously with the results of Eighth Semester (Final year) Examinations. The Commission noted with surprised that the evaluation of the Eighth Semester Examination took around 1 month time while evaluation of examinations of all earlier Semesters took Six to Eight months. The Commission noted that this was a very bad practice with the intention to perhaps put the students under un-due pressure instead of helping them in making timely preparation for the repeat examinations or supplementary examinations. This action on the part of the Institutions as well as the Evaluators adversely affected the performance as well as career of the students. If the result is declared within a month of conducting the examination, as generally noted in respect of other courses run by Delhi University, the student is timely able to identify his weakness and start preparations for the repeat/ supplementary examination alongwith the examination of the next Semester. The University of Delhi has to ensure that the evaluation of papers in respect of all examinations of various courses are done within a prescribed time frame and results are also declared as per pre-decided schedule.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

7. In view of the foregoing observations, the Commission makes the following recommendations:

- (i) The exact position relating to performance and final result including the result in respect of the examination papers written by Shri Skarma Stanzin Leksdan in December, 2008 is verified and declared. (The Commission was assured that it will be finalized within a period of one week).
- (ii) The Registrar, Delhi University through the Controller of Examinations and the Faculty of Technology, Delhi University will issue requisite instructions to all concerned that the results of all Annual/ Semester/ Supplementary Examinations are declared within one month of the completion of the relevant examination
- (iii) The result cards/ mark-sheets in respect of each examination may be immediately uploaded on the website of the University as well as the Institute concerned and accessible to the candidates. Similarly, pending distribution of Degree to the successful students, the consolidated result and Provisional Certificate/ Provisional Degree after successful completion of the course should be issued to all students immediately after declaration of the relevant result(s).

8. The Commission may be apprised of the action taken on the decision taken in the meeting and recommendations made by the Commission as mentioned above by 14/12/2009.